

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/708,548	BEENAU ET AL.	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Daniel A. Hess. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Carl Wischhusen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 January 2006 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-46

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant agreed to amend each independent claim to clarify that the transaction device is 'translucent or transparent.' Also applicant agreed to cancel claim 34, which would not have been allowable.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)